UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,377	06/22/2006	Sijtze Van Deer Meer	NL03 1513 US1	9220
	7590 12/15/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3001		WASAFF, JOHN SAMUEL		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510-8001		001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3742		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/15/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/584,377	VAN DEER MEER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN WASAFF	3742		

	JOHN WASAFF	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 December 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a I).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu In response to applicant's arguments on pp. 9-11, applic Examiner maintains position set forth before: claims 1 are that includes a reservoir, a chamber, a liquid transport of Examiner used Favre to demonstrate the use of a sealin position that such a limitation carries little patentable we capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of meeting the functional requirements of the claim included in the capable of the capable of the claim included in the capable of the claim included in the capable of t	eant is directed to the previous final and 22 are unpatentable over Funghannel, guide, and liquid outlet (seing foil. Regarding the particular sulgight, since the combination of Fungham. Similarly, applicant's limitation ight, since the combination of Fungham. For these reasons, applicant's	office action for exar and Favre. Fung sho e previous rejection, l ostance contained, it a and Favre produces n "the cartridge outpu a and Favre produces	niner's position. ws a cartridge Fig. 1 of Fung). is examiner's a cartridge ts the heated a cartridge			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Henry Yuen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742

/JOHN WASAFF/ Examiner, Art Unit 3742 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20111206